arXiv:1606.06596v2 [gr-qc] 7 Jan 2017

Different Prospects of the Universe Depending on Dark Energy and/or a New Kind of Extra Dimension

Sheng-Fei Feng[1,2] Yong-Chang Huang[1] Xin Liu[1] Ying-Jie Zhao[1*]

1. Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Beijing University of Technology, Beijing, 100124, China
2. Department of Physics, Capital Normal University,
Beijing, 100048, China

Abstract

Generally making the cosmological scale factor R be a function of the coordinate of the extra dimension σ that is also a function of time t, we achieve a new kind of cosmic acceleration mechanism depending on extra dimension. We give the constraints on σ under four different prospects of the universe, and indicate that dark energy is not required for both the small extra dimension and the accelerating expansion of our universe. This results in this paper show that the accelerating expansion of our universe may come from both dark energy and the achieved new mechanism here, or the latter alone.

PACS Number(s): 98.80.-k, 98.80.Jk, 98.80.Qc

Keywords: Cosmology, Acceleration Mechanism, Extra Dimension

*Corresponding author : yj_zhao@bjut.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Although the standard cosmology based on General Relativity and inflation has been remarkably successful, there remain deep puzzles left for theorists to resolve— what is the cause of the late-time acceleration of the universe? For several years, people has put forward a lot of theories to answer the above question. Dark energy, as a famous mechanism, could be interpreted by cosmological constant introduced by Einstein, whose huge difference between the observed value and theoretical value suggests that it may be a sort of strange field. In order to describe dark energy, various theories such as phantom [1], quintessence theories [2], and other forms of exotic matter [3] like the Chaplygin gas [4] (see also Ref.[5] and a more complete list of references) have been proposed. However, the property of dark energy assumed to drive the expansion of the universe can not be probed in any direct experiment.

On one hand, at present our knowledge of dark energy still remains insufficient, that is to say, the fact that we cannot understand the physical essence of dark energy prevents us from researching more accurately; on the other hand, the acceleration of our universe may be implicated by other new mechanisms simultaneously, hence that one can follow a different route and try instead to pin the acceleration of the universe on the extra dimensions is imperative. Some theorists modify the Friedmann equations directly [6,7,8]. In this paper, we are aimed to study this topic using the Kaluza-Klein formalism where the fifth coordinate is non-compact. In the following, we will argue how to extend the FRW metrics in section 2. And we derive the Einstein's equations in section 3 and discuss the four different prospects of the universe in section 4, 5, 6, 7. Moreover, we also show that in the limit of zero proper matter-energy density a small extra dimension emerges naturally in section 8. Finally, we make a conclusion in section 9.

2 Derivation of the Metrics

The FRW metrics were derived from the following geometrical structure of space

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = R^2, \tag{1}$$

where x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are all spacial coordinates. In the calculation the scale factor R was treated as a constant, as one obtains the metrics, one let R be a function of time t. It seems inconsistent on logic, if R is a function of time

it should be the function from the right beginning. So we think the fault of the FRW metrics is just coming from this. If there need modifying, one can start from this. Of course, one can attribute the fault of the FRW model to the hypothesis that the universe is homogeneous and isotropic, and think the spacial part of our universe is described by $\frac{x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2}{R}+\frac{x_4^2}{L}=1$,where R and L are constants [9]. Now, we retain the hypothesis, but let R be a function of another parameter. However, we find that it is naive if one only treats R as a function of time from the very beginning calculation, then one claims that he gets new metrics. In fact, we have tried to do this, finally, we found the metrics that we had obtained is faulted as the FRW metrics. On the other hand, more and more theorists believe that the extra dimensions is existent. So if one wants to describe the universe, whose metrics must have the terms which come from the extra dimensions. Based on these reasons, we think that R should be a function of extra dimension, here, for convenience, we consider the 5D spacetime, i.e. $Eq.(1)$ should be rewritten as the following form

$$x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2=R^2(\sigma), \tag{2}$$

where σ is the extra spacial coordinates. This equation represents a 4D hypersurface which embeds in the 5D Euclidean space. We use intrinsic coordinates to this hypersurface

$$x_1=R(\sigma)\sin\alpha\sin\theta\sin\varphi, \tag{3a}$$
$$x_2=R(\sigma)\sin\alpha\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \tag{3b}$$
$$x_3=R(\sigma)\sin\alpha\cos\theta, \tag{3c}$$
$$x_4=R(\sigma)\cos\alpha. \tag{3d}$$

The metrics of this 4D hypersurface can be given by the the metrics of 5D Euclidean space.

$$(dS_E)^2=(dx_1)^2+(dx_2)^2+(dx_3)^2+(dx_4)^2+(d\sigma)^2 \tag{4}$$

The idea that matter in four dimensions (4D) can be explained from a 5D Ricci-flat ($R_{AB}=0$) Riemannian manifold is a consequence of the Campbell's theorem. It says that any analytic N-dimensional Riemannian manifold can be locally embedded in a $(N+1)$-dimensional Ricci-flat manifold. Substituting $Eqs.(3a-3d)$ into $Eq.(4)$, we can obtain the following metrics

$$(dS_E)^2 = R^2(\sigma)\{\frac{dr^2}{1-r^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\} + [1 + R'^2(\sigma)]d\sigma^2 \quad (5)$$

where we have denoted the function $\sin\alpha$ as r. After considering the time, the spacetime metrics can be obtained as

$$dS^2 = dt^2 - R^2(\sigma)\{\frac{dr^2}{1-r^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\} - [1 + R'^2(\sigma)]d\sigma^2. \quad (6)$$

Our space may have negative curvature, in this case, the $Eq.(2)$ can be rewritten as

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_4^2 = -R^2(\sigma). \quad (7)$$

This equation represents a 4D hypersurface which has negative curvature and immersed in a 5D pseudo Euclidean flat space with metrics

$$(dS_p)^2 = (dx_1)^2 + (dx_2)^2 + (dx_3)^2 - (dx_4)^2 + (d\sigma)^2. \quad (8)$$

We use intrinsic coordinates to this hypersurface

$$x_1 = R(\sigma)\sinh\alpha\sin\theta\sin\varphi, \quad (9a)$$
$$x_2 = R(\sigma)\sinh\alpha\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \quad (9b)$$
$$x_3 = R(\sigma)\sinh\alpha\cos\theta, \quad (9c)$$
$$x_4 = R(\sigma)\cosh\alpha. \quad (9d)$$

The spatial line-element on this hypersurface is obtained from the metrics $Eq.(8)$ of the 5-dimensional pseudo Euclidean flat space in which it is immersed as

$$(dS_p)^2 = R^2(\sigma)\{\frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\} + [1 - R'^2(\sigma)]d\sigma^2, \quad (10)$$

where we have denoted the function $\sinh\alpha$ as r. After considering the time, the spacetime metrics can be obtained as

$$dS^2 = dt^2 - R^2(\sigma)\{\frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\} - [1 - R'^2(\sigma)]d\sigma^2. \quad (11)$$

The two line-elements (6) and (11) can, however, be combined into a single line-element with the help of a parameter k that takes values $k = \pm 1$

$$dS^2 = dt^2 - R^2(\sigma)\{\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\} - [1 + kR'^2(\sigma)]d\sigma^2. \quad (12)$$

It may be noted that if the parameter k is set equal to zero we get the line-element $Eq.(12)$ as

$$dS^2 = dt^2 - R^2(\sigma)\{dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\} - d\sigma^2, \quad (13)$$

which are the metrics of 5D flat Minkowski spacetime.

Now that we introduce extra dimension into our model, we must explain why our realistic world is 4-dimensional. To do this, we take the ansatz that the Standard Model fields are confined to a 3-brane in the extra dimension [10-21]. Considering the brane can move along the extra dimension, the location of the brane in the extra dimension should be a function of time. Actually, when t takes a certain value, the large scale state of our universe should be certain, i.e. if there is a certain value of t, the extra dimension coordinate should be given a certain value too. Thus, there must be a relationship between the extra dimension coordinate σ and t. We can express this relation by denoting σ be functions of t. We rewrite them as $\sigma(t)$. Since $R(\sigma)$ is the function of σ, now σ is a function of t, then $R(\sigma)$ finally must be a function of t. We rewrite $R(\sigma)$ as $R(t)$, then the metrics in $Eq.(12)$ reduce to $4D$-form and can be rewritten as

$$dS^2 = [1 - \dot{\sigma}^2(t) - k\dot{R}^2(t)]dt^2 - R^2(t)\{\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)\}. \quad (14)$$

Comparing with Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metrics, we can see, that after making R be a function of the coordinate of extra dimension, and considering the coordinate of extra dimension be a function of time, there appear two new terms in our metrics. These two new terms have new meanings. They give us freedom to understand the puzzle of the accelerated expansion of the universe.

One may argue that the Eq.(14) is an equivalent of FRW metrics based on the following reason: if one denotes a new variable τ and lets $d\tau^2 = [1 - \dot{\sigma}^2(t) - k\dot{R}^2(t)]dt^2$, then the metrics Eq.(14) are exactly FRW metrics. But we point out Eq.(14) is not an equivalent of FRW metrics, because $g_{00} = 1 - \dot{\sigma}^2(t) - k\dot{R}^2(t)$ may be minus, but in FRW metrics it is impossible.

3 Einstein's Equations

The metrics derived by the aforementioned argument sill describe a 4D spacetime. We still take the energy-momentum tensor of the 4D spacetime relativistic perfect fluid form, given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -pg_{\mu\nu} + (p+\rho)U_\mu U_\nu, \tag{15}$$

where p is the isotropic fluid pressure, ρ is the proper matter-energy density and $U_\nu(\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ is the fluid flow vector, satisfying $g_{\mu\nu}U^\mu U^\nu = 1$. However, now $g_{\mu\nu}$ takes the form as following

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\dot{\sigma}^2(t) - k\dot{R}^2(t) & & & \\ & -\frac{R^2(t)}{1-kr^2} & & \\ & & -R^2(t)r^2 & \\ & & & -R^2(t)r^2\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

In the adopted comoving coordinate system we have the components of the fluid 4-velocity vector U_μ as $U_1 = U_2 = U_3 = 0$, Then the condition $g_{\mu\nu}U^\mu U^\nu = 1$ becomes $U^0U^0 = \frac{1}{1-\dot{\sigma}^2(t)-k\dot{R}^2(t)}$, After considering these, the equation of conservation of the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = 0$ is

$$-g^{00}p_{,0} + g^{-\frac{1}{2}}[g^{\frac{1}{2}}(p+\rho)U^0U^0]_{,0} + \Gamma^0_{00}(p+\rho)U^0U^0 = 0, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\Gamma^0_{00} = -\frac{\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma} + k\dot{R}\ddot{R}}{1-\dot{\sigma}^2 - k\dot{R}^2}, \tag{18}$$

$$g = \frac{\left|1-\dot{\sigma}^2 - k\dot{R}^2\right| R^6r^4\sin^2\theta}{1-kr^2}, \tag{19}$$

in which we take the absolute value of $1-\dot{\sigma}^2 - k\dot{R}^2$ to assure g be a positive number. Calculation show that the usual energy conservation $\dot{\rho}+3H(\rho+p) = 0$ is retained in our model. So, if we have the equation of state of $p = \omega\rho$, then we can obtain

$$\rho R^{3(\omega+1)} = \frac{3C}{8\pi G} = const. \tag{19'}$$

On the other hand, because many physical processes should satisfy quantitative causal relation with no-loss-no-gain character [22,23], e.g., Ref.[24] uses the no-loss-no-gain homeomorphic map transformation satisfying the quantitative causal relation to gain exact strain tensor formulas in Weitzenbock manifold. And Eq. (17) satisfies quantitative causal relation, i.e., changes (cause) of some quantities in Eq. (17) must cause relative changes (result) of the other quantities in Eq. (17) so that Eq. (17)'s right side keeps no-loss-no-gain, i.e., maintains zero. Therefore, Eq.(17) also satisfies a general consistent condition.

From the metrics we can have the Einstein's equations, first we calculate the Riemannian tensors

$$R_{00} = -3\frac{\ddot{R}}{R}\frac{1-\dot{\sigma}^2}{1-\dot{\sigma}^2-k\dot{R}^2} - 3\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\frac{\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma}}{1-\dot{\sigma}^2-k\dot{R}^2}, \tag{20a}$$

$$R_{ii} = \frac{g_{ii}}{R^2}\left[\frac{(R\ddot{R}+2\dot{R}^2)(1-\dot{\sigma}^2)+\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma}R\dot{R}-2k\dot{R}^4}{\left(1-\dot{\sigma}^2-k\dot{R}^2\right)^2}+2k\right], \tag{20b}$$

where $\dot{R}=\frac{\partial R}{\partial t}$, $\ddot{R}=\frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial^2 t}$ and $i=1,2,3$, double i dose not mean summation. Substituting these equations into the Einstein's equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G(T_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T) = 8\pi G S_{\mu\nu}, \tag{21}$$

where $T=g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$, $S_{\mu\nu}=T_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T$. One can calculate that

$$S_{00} = \frac{1}{2}(\rho+3p)g_{00}, \tag{22}$$

$$S_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}(p-\rho)g_{ii}, \tag{23}$$

We must notice, where $g_{00}=1-\dot{\sigma}^2(t)-k\dot{R}^2(t)$, which is different from FRW model, and $i=1,2,3$, double i dose not mean summation. Now we have the Einstein's equations in our metrics

$$-3\frac{\ddot{R}}{R}(1-\dot{\sigma}^2)-3\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma} = 4\pi G(\rho+3p)\left(1-\dot{\sigma}^2-k\dot{R}^2\right)^2, \tag{24a}$$

$$\frac{(R\ddot{R}+2\dot{R}^2)(1-\dot{\sigma}^2)+\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma}R\dot{R}-2k\dot{R}^4}{\left(1-\dot{\sigma}^2-k\dot{R}^2\right)^2}+2k = 4\pi G(\rho-p)R^2. \tag{24b}$$

Substituting $Eq.(24a)$ into $Eq.(24b)$, we have the Friedmann-Equation in our model

$$\dot{R}^2 = \left(\frac{8\pi G\rho R^2}{3} - k\right)\left(1 - \dot{\sigma}^2 - k\dot{R}^2\right). \tag{25}$$

From this equation, and after considering the equation of energy conservation, we can obtain the relation of σ and R as following

$$\dot{\sigma}^2 = 1 - k\dot{R}^2 - \frac{\dot{R}^2 R^{3\omega+1}}{(C - kR^{3\omega+1})}, \tag{26}$$

where $\frac{8\pi G\rho R^{3(\omega+1)}}{3} = C$ is a positive number. The parameter σ comes of the extra dimension. $Eq.(26)$ describes the relation between $\sigma(t)$ and $R(t)$. If we can write $R(t)$ with an obvious form, then we can obtain the knowledges about the extra dimension $\sigma(t)$. We hope that this new parameter can give us freedom to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe. Take the derivative of $Eq.(26)$ with respect to time, we have

$$k\dot{R}\ddot{R} + \frac{\dot{R}\ddot{R}R^{3\omega+1} + \frac{3\omega+1}{2}\dot{R}^3 R^{3\omega}}{(C - kR^{3\omega+1})} + \frac{k\frac{3\omega+1}{2}\dot{R}^3 R^{6\omega+1}}{(C - kR^{3\omega+1})^2} = -\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma}. \tag{27}$$

There are three cases about this equation, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{R} = \frac{3\omega+1}{2}\frac{\dot{R}^2 R^{3\omega}}{(C+R^{3\omega+1})} + \frac{\left(C+R^{3\omega+1}\right)\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma}}{\dot{R}C}, & k = -1, \\ \ddot{R} = -\frac{3\omega+1}{2}\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R} - \frac{C\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma}}{\dot{R}R^{3\omega+1}}, & k = 0, \\ \ddot{R} = -\frac{3\omega+1}{2}\frac{\dot{R}^2 R^{3\omega}}{(C-R^{3\omega+1})} - \frac{\left(C-R^{3\omega+1}\right)\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma}}{\dot{R}C}, & k = 1. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

In the following space we investigate the constraints on extra dimension $\sigma(t)$ corresponding respectively to four different prospects of the universe.

4 Big Bounce $(\dot{R} = 0, \ddot{R} > 0)$

From $Eq.(25)$ we can obtain

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{3k}{8\pi G\rho}}, \tag{29}$$

or

$$\dot{\sigma} = \pm 1, \quad \ddot{R} = 0, \quad \text{(here we drop it because } \ddot{R} > 0) \tag{30}$$

In the $Eq.(29)$, k must satisfy $k = 1$. Moreover, according to $Eq.(24a)$ and $p = \omega\rho$, we have the relation

$$(1 + 3\omega)\left(1 - \dot{\sigma}^2\right)\rho < 0. \tag{31}$$

When $\omega > -1/3$ we get

$$\dot{\sigma} > 1 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \dot{\sigma} < -1, \tag{32}$$

or $\omega < -1/3$ we get

$$-1 < \dot{\sigma} < 1. \tag{33}$$

And the extra dimension $\sigma(t)$ is represented from $Eq.(24b)$ as

$$\dot{\sigma} = \pm\sqrt{1 - \frac{2R^{3\omega+2}\ddot{R}}{3C\left(1-\omega\right) - 4R^{3\omega+1}}}. \tag{34}$$

Thus only the curvature of the space is positive the Big Bounce will happen, and the critical density and cosmological scale factor corresponding to the time t_0 can be obtained from $Eqs.(29)$ and $(19')$,

$$R(t_0) = C^{\frac{1}{3\omega+1}}, \quad \rho(t_0) = \frac{3}{8\pi G C^{\frac{2}{3\omega+1}}}. \tag{35}$$

5 Big Rip $(\dot{R} > 0, \ddot{R} \geq 0)$

Because of the generality of σ, there is always $\ddot{R} \geq 0$, as long as one takes an appropriate form of the function $\sigma(t)$. Now, we consider the constraints on $\sigma(t)$, which make $\ddot{R} \geq 0$. Therefore, using $\ddot{R} \geq 0$ in $Eq.(28)$, we have the inequations about $\dot{\sigma}$ $(\omega > -1/3)$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} \geqslant -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{\left(C+R^{3\omega+1}\right)^2}, & k = -1, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} \leqslant -\frac{(3\omega+1)\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{C}, & k = 0, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} \geqslant -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{\left(R^{3\omega+1}-C\right)^2}, & k = 1, R > C^{\frac{1}{3\omega+1}}. \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

According to above conditions, it is clear that the growing $R(t)$ must be larger than any constant (see $Eq.(28)$), hence only $R > C^{\frac{1}{3\omega+1}}$ can the Big

Rip happen in the universe having positive curvature ($k = 1$). But when $\omega < -1/3$ the inequations are

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} \geqslant -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(C+R^{3\omega+1})^2}, & k=-1, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} \leqslant -\frac{(3\omega+1)\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{C}, & k=0, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} \leqslant -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(R^{3\omega+1}-C)^2}, & k=1, R > C^{\frac{1}{3\omega+1}}. \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

If the above conditions are satisfied, the universe maintains its infinitely accelerating inflation so that the Big Rip will occur.

6 No Big Rip $(\dot{R} > 0, \ddot{R} < 0)$

Similarly, according to *Eq.*(28), the extra dimension $\sigma(t)$ is restricted ($\omega > -1/3$)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} < -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(C+R^{3\omega+1})^2}, & k=-1, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} > -\frac{(3\omega+1)\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{C}, & k=0, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} < -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(R^{3\omega+1}-C)^2}, & k=1, R > C^{\frac{1}{3\omega+1}}. \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

But when $\omega < -1/3$ the inequations are

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} < -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(C+R^{3\omega+1})^2}, & k=-1, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} > -\frac{(3\omega+1)\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{C}, & k=0, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} > -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(R^{3\omega+1}-C)^2}, & k=1, R > C^{\frac{1}{3\omega+1}}. \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

Because this situation is the expansion of decreasing accaleration, there is no Big Rip.

7 Big Crunch $(\dot{R} < 0, \ddot{R} < 0)$

According to *Eq.*(28) and when $\omega > -1/3$, the extra dimension $\sigma(t)$ is restricted as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} > -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(C+R^{3\omega+1})^2}, & k=-1, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} < -\frac{(3\omega+1)\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{C}, & k=0, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} > -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(C-R^{3\omega+1})^2}, & k=1, R < C^{\frac{1}{3\omega+1}}. \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

In the same way, it is not difficult to show the declining $R(t)$ must be smaller than any constant, therefore only $R < C^{\frac{1}{3\omega+1}}$ can the Big Crunch process continuously works in the universe with positive curvature ($k = 1$). But when $\omega < -1/3$ the inequations are

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} > -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(C+R^{3\omega+1})^2}, & k=-1, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} < -\frac{(3\omega+1)\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{C}, & k=0, \\ \frac{d\dot{\sigma}^2}{dt} < -\frac{(3\omega+1)C\dot{R}^3R^{3\omega}}{(C-R^{3\omega+1})^2}, & k=1, R < C^{\frac{1}{3\omega+1}}. \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

If the above conditions are satisfied, the universe will maintain its accelerating shrink and the Big Crunch will occur until it reaches a singularity.

8 A Limit Case

Now we consider a limit case the density of our universe $\rho \to 0$, that is to say, if $\frac{C}{R^{3\omega+1}} \to 0$, $Eq.(26)$ will give the extremum of $\dot{\sigma}^2$ when $k = \pm 1$, i.e.

$$\lim_{\frac{C}{R^{3\omega+1}}\to 0} \dot{\sigma}^2 = \lim_{\frac{C}{R^{3\omega+1}}\to 0} \left[1 - k\dot{R}^2 - \frac{\dot{R}^2}{\left(\frac{C}{R^{3\omega+1}} - k\right)}\right] = 1, \tag{42}$$

which means that now value (now R is enough big) of the speed of our universe which can be regarded as a 4D hypersurface embeded in 5D bulk spacetime will tend to a constant. Further, $\dot{\sigma}^2 = 1$, i.e. $\dot{\sigma} = \pm 1$, where the positive sign denotes that the size of the exra dimension is becoming biger and the minus sign denotes that the size is becoming smaller, the becoming small size is agreeable with the small extra dimension. Consequently, we deduce not only the small extra dimension but also the accelerating expansion of our universe not needing dark energy.

Finally, we can give the redshift in our model

$$z = \alpha \frac{R(t_0)}{R(t_e)} - 1, \tag{43}$$

where $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1-\dot{\sigma}^2(t_e)-k\dot{R}^2(t_e)}{1-\dot{\sigma}^2(t_0)-k\dot{R}^2(t_0)}}$. $Eq.(32)$ can be rewritten as

$$z = \alpha[1 + H_0(t_0 - t_e) + \left(1 + \frac{q_0}{2}\right) H_0^2(t_0 - t_e)^2 + \ldots] - 1, \tag{44}$$

where $H_0 = \frac{\dot{R}(t_0)}{R(t_0)}$ is Hubble constant and $q_0 = -\frac{\ddot{R}(t_0)}{R(t_0)H_0^2}$ is deacceleration parameter. For diferent α, we can obtain different redshift compared with FRW model, which may make us have more freedom to fit with astronomic observation results.

9 Summary and Conclusion

To explain the late-time acceleration of our universe, we need new mechanism, because the FRW model encounters blank wall in the new data of observations. In order to get a new mechanism, the most convenient way is to modify the FRW model. After aboratively analyzing the FRW model, we show that we can modify the FRW model by introducing an extra dimension of space σ, and making the cosmological scale factor R be a function of the extra dimension, $R \to R(\sigma)$. We find the manifold of space of our universe can be described by the $Eq.(2)$, because the observations show that our universe is homogeneous and isotropic. Basing on this considering, we obtain the metrics $Eq.(12)$. As a modification of the FRW model, it should be able to go back to FRW model, so we let the coordinate of the extra dimension σ be a function of time. Then the metrics $Eq.(12)$ turn to $Eq.(14)$. Subsequently, we considered the equation of conservation of the energy-momentum tensor in our metrics, and we show that the equation of conservation of the energy-momentum in FRW model can be remained in our model.

We further derive Einstein's equations. In nature, Einstein's equations describe the relation $Eq.(26)$ between $\sigma(t)$ and $R(t)$. If we can write $R(t)$ with an obvious form, then we can obtain the knowledge about the extra dimension $\sigma(t)$. Observations show that the expansion of our universe is accelerated, which imposes some constraints to $\sigma(t)$. Here we give the constraints from $Eq.(33)$ to $Eq.(40)$ on $\sigma(t)$ corresponding to four different prospects of our universe. As we see in the limitation $\rho \to 0$, after introducing the extra dimension $\sigma(t)$, we can obtain not only an accelerated expansion of the universe with dark energy but also the now small extra dimension, even with the latter alone. Therefore, the achieved results in this letter represent that the accelerating expansion of our universe may come from the achieved new mechanism. This Letter's research can also be applied to relative investigations about Refs.[25,26] etc.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.11275017 and 11173028).

References

[1] R. R. Calwell, Phys. Lett. B 545, 23 (2002); R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N. N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 071301 (2003); J. M. Cline, S. Y. Jeon and G. D. Moore, Phys. Rev. D 70, 043543 (2004).

[2] R. R. Caldwell, R. Dave and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1582 (1998); P. J. E. Peebles and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 59, 063505 (1999); P. J. Steinhardt, L. M. Wang and I. Zlatev, Phys. Rev. D 59, 123504 (1999); M. Doran and J. Jackel, Phys. Rev. D 66, 043519 (2002); A. R. Liddle, P. Parsons and J. D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D 50, 7222 (1994).

[3] H. Wei, R. G. Cai and D. F. Zeng, Class. Quant. Grav. 22, 3189 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501160].

[4] A. Y. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella and V. Pasquier, Phys. Lett. B 511 (2001) 265.

[5] V. Sahni and A. Starobinsky, arXiv:astro-ph/0610026.

[6] Katherine Freese. Nuclear Physics B (Proc. Suppl.) 124 (2003) 50-54.

[7] Katherine Freese, Matthew Lewis. Phys. Lett. B540 (2002) 1-8.

[8] Katherine Freese. New Astron. Rev. 49 (2005) 103-109.

[9] Abdussattar (Banaras Hindu University). Int. J. Mod. Phys. D12 (2003) 1603-1614.

[10] V.A. Rubakov, M.E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 125 (1983) 136, 139.

[11] K. Akama, Lect. Notes Phys. 176 (1982) 267 [hep-th/0001113].

[12] M. Visser, Phys. Lett. B 159 (1985) 22 [hep-th/9910093].

[13] M. Pavsic, Phys. Lett. A 116 (1986) 1 [gr-qc/0101075].

[14] G.W. Gibbons, D.L. Wiltshire, Nucl. Phys. B 287 (1987) 717 [hep-th/0109093].

[15] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G.R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 429 (1998) 263.

[16] A.Lukas, B.A. Ovrut, K.S. Stelle, D. Waldram,Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 086001.

[17] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G.R. Dvali,Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 086004.

[18] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G.R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 436 (1998) 257.

[19] M. Gogberashvili, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 14 (1999) 2025.

[20] ANIRUDH PRADHAN and I. AOTEMSHI, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 11 (2002) 1639.

[21] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370, 4690.

[22] Yong-Chang Huang et al, Mod. Phys. Lett., A21: 1107 (2006) ; Leng Liao and Yong-Chang Huang, Phys. Rev., D75 (2007) 025025.

[23] Yong-Chang Huang, Chen-Xin Yu, Phys. Rev., D75 (2007) 044011; Chen-Xin Yu, Yong-Chang Huang, Phys. lett. B647, 49(2007); Yong-Chang Huang and Fang-Fang Yuan, Journal of High Energy Physics, 03(2011)029.

[24] Yong-Chang Huang and B. L. Lin, Phys. Lett. , A299 (2002) 644.

[25] P. Huang and Yong-Chang Huang, Stability of the holographic description of the Universe, European Physical Journal C, (2013) 73:2366.

[26] Q. Zhang and Yong-Chang Huang, DBI Potential, DBI Inflation Action and General Lagrangian Relative to Phantom, K-essence and Quintessence, Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 11(2011)050.